

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2010 with funding from
Lyrasis Members and Sloan Foundation

BULLETIN 443

AUGUST 1960

The Molybdenum Content of West Virginia Soils

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

THE AUTHOR

C. B. Sperow is Superintendent of the Ohio Valley Experiment Station at Point Pleasant, and Assistant Agronomist at the West Virginia University Agricultural Experiment Station.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND HOME ECONOMICS
A. H. VANLANDINGHAM, DIRECTOR
MORGANTOWN

The Molybdenum Content of West Virginia Soils

C. B. SPEROW

Introduction

MOLYBDENUM is one of the latest elements to be classified as essential to normal plant growth. When insufficient amounts are available in the soil, plants may suffer from molybdenum deficiency. Molybdenum also is important from an agricultural standpoint in that soils containing excessive available molybdenum may produce forage that, under certain conditions, may be toxic when consumed by grazing animals (2).

The extent to which molybdenum deficiencies occur in the United States has not been fully established. Recent reports (8) indicate that field deficiencies have occurred in at least thirteen states.

In West Virginia, Fairchild, Kemper, and Marvel¹ noted the occurrence of molybdenum deficiency in certain varieties of cauliflower grown in the Canaan Valley of Tucker County. No report has been made of molybdenum deficiency in any other part of the State.

There have been no reports of molybdenum toxicity in the humid areas of the United States. However, it has been shown that some soils of the humid areas contain sufficient molybdenum to produce toxic forage under certain conditions (7).

The present study was made to determine the total molybdenum content of some of the more important soil series of West Virginia.

Experimental Methods

Eighty soil samples were analyzed for their total molybdenum content. These samples represented 30 soil series and were collected from 26 counties in the State.

Soil samples were collected by staff members of the Department of Agronomy and Genetics and by soil scientists of the Soil Conservation Service. Samples were generally taken at a depth of 0-6 inches. Insofar as possible, samples were collected from areas which had received no fertilizer, lime, or farm manure during their known history. Most of the samples came from woodland, fence rows, idle fields, or untreated pastures. Descriptions of the sample sites are given in the Appendix.

¹Unpublished Data, West Virginia University Agricultural Experiment Station, 1952.

Samples were prepared for analysis by grinding in an agate mortar to pass through 97-mesh silk bolting cloth. Duplicate analyses were made of each sample, and all results are the average of these analyses. Analyses were made by fusing the soil samples with sodium carbonate followed by determination of molybdenum using the thiocyanate-stannous chloride method. Fusion was accomplished according to the method of Robinson (6) and chemical determination following the method outlined by Prince (5).

Results

A summary of the molybdenum content of the soils analyzed is given in Table 1. The soil grouping used here is an arbitrary one, grouping soils according to their parent material. Molybdenum content of the individual samples is given in the Appendix.

The over-all average for the soils analyzed was found to be 1.76 ppm total molybdenum.

The upland soils derived from limestone, or limestone and shale parent material, were far above average in total molybdenum content. The Hagerstown, Brooke, and Westmoreland were particularly high in total molybdenum.

The Huntington series, a bottomland soil derived from limestone influenced alluvium, was also high in total molybdenum. In general the bottomland soils strongly reflect the influence of the upland soils which supplied their parent material. The samples of the Pope series were all low in total molybdenum, as were the upland soils with which the Pope is associated. The Moshannon series, developed on alluvium principally from the Upshur series, was intermediate in molybdenum content, as was the Upshur series.

The soils derived from the tilted sandstone, siltstones, and shales of the Ridge and Valley Province of the eastern part of the State were much lower than average in total molybdenum content. As a group these soils contained less than one-half as much total molybdenum as the soils derived from limestone parent material. Almost all of the individual soils in this group were very low in total molybdenum and appeared to be highly variable in molybdenum content. This group included an Ashby channery loam from Mercer County which contained 0.42 ppm total molybdenum, the lowest of any sample analyzed.

The Berks series, because it is found in association with the limestone soils, was not grouped with the other soils developed on tilted sandstone, siltstone, and shale. In molybdenum content it was not at all like the other soils developed on the tilted formations. There were originally only two Berks samples, and those contained 1.67 and 11.70

TABLE 1. MOLYBDENUM CONTENT OF WEST VIRGINIA SOILS PPM TOTAL
MOLYBDENUM

SOIL SERIES	NO. OF SAMPLES	RANGE		AVERAGE
		Low	High	
I. Well-drained, upland soils of the Limestone Valley				
A. <i>From limestone</i>				
Berkeley	2	1.43	2.32	1.88
Hagerstown	4	2.27	3.97*	2.91
Frankstown	1			1.52
Frederick	4	1.33	2.25	1.81
	11			2.20
B. <i>From shale</i>				
Berks	6	1.67	11.70	4.45
II. Well-drained, upland soils of the Ridge and Valley Province				
Ashby	4	0.42	1.59	1.02
Belmont	1			1.17
Calvin	3	0.72	1.39	1.01
Lehew	3	0.53	1.68	0.97
Litz	2	0.84	0.92	0.88
Teas	4	0.93	1.52	1.22
Ungers	1			0.98
	18			1.04
III. Well-drained, upland soils of the Allegheny Plateau				
A. <i>From limestone and shale</i>				
Brooke	3	2.05	2.64	2.28
Westmoreland	2	2.78	3.14	2.96
	5			2.56
B. <i>From sandstone and shale</i>				
Clymer	3	0.97	1.77	1.36
Dekalb	4	0.59	2.40	1.39
Gilpin	5	0.95	2.48	1.74
Muskingum	3	1.08	1.39	1.28
Upshur	1			1.93
Upshur-Gilpin	1			1.76
Upshur-Muskingum	2	1.40	2.30	1.85
Wellston	2	0.74	1.07	0.90
Wharton	3	1.30	2.70	2.15
	24			1.57
IV. Miscellaneous				
A. <i>From alluvium</i>				
Huntington	3	1.76	3.03	2.45
Moshannon	2	1.25	1.60	1.42
Pope	3	0.85	1.50	1.11
	8			1.69
B. <i>Planosols</i>				
Cookport	1			0.87
Tilsit	3	1.24	1.55	1.39
	4			1.26
C. <i>Terrace soils</i>				
Wheeling	2	1.30	1.96	1.63
Zoar	2	1.30	2.91	2.10
	4			1.87

*Subsoil sample.

ppm molybdenum. Because of the high molybdenum content of the one sample, several additional samples were collected and analyzed. These all fell within the extremes of the original samples.

Microscopic examination of individual shale particles screened from this soil revealed small seams of a black or very dark mineral. These seams varied in thickness but most were not thick enough to be seen readily with the naked eye. Shale particles were divided into two groups, one containing a large amount of the dark mineral and designated "*high impurity*," and one containing a small amount of the dark mineral and designated "*low impurity*." A sample of shale particles containing no detectable dark seams was also hand picked under a microscope. Duplicate determinations of the total molybdenum content of the samples were made. The "*high impurity*," "*low impurity*," and shale particles contained 18.20, 5.55, and 1.28 ppm molybdenum, respectively. The high molybdenum content of the "*high impurity*" sample suggests that the high molybdenum content of the Berks samples may be due, at least in part, to the presence of minerals containing concentrations of molybdenum. The brown shale particles contained less total molybdenum than any of the Berks soil samples.

Discussion

It is impossible to state precisely where deficiencies might be found on the basis of a soil analysis for total molybdenum. Knowledge of the total molybdenum content of the soil does give a picture of the potential supply of the mineral present. On the basis of the 80 soil samples analyzed only a few areas were sufficiently low in total molybdenum that molybdenum deficiency might be expected. The Ashby, Calvin, Litz, and Lehigh soils were very low in total molybdenum. However, with the possible exception of the Ashby sample from Mercer County (0.42 ppm total molybdenum), molybdenum is probably not the limiting factor for plant growth on these soils. Their low productivity is probably due to a combination of several chemical and physical properties. These soils are generally developed on steep topography from materials high in silt and generally low in essential nutrient elements. They are generally shallow and droughty. Water, lime, and major nutrient elements are all generally in low supply. Under these conditions of low productivity, molybdenum would not likely be limiting even though the supply is relatively low. However, in areas where production is increased by lime, fertilizer, and possibly irrigation, the rather low molybdenum supply might become limiting in a relatively short period of time. Lime in particular would tend to increase the availability of the native soil molybdenum bringing about a greater removal of molybdenum even if no increase in yield were obtained.

The Ashby soil from Mercer County approaches very closely the 0.40 ppm suggested by Evans (4) as the point where molybdenum deficiency occurs regardless of pH. Several other soils approach the range of expected deficiency. However, in all cases, lime or some other factor is likely to be more limiting than molybdenum. In order to get a large response from adding molybdenum to an acid soil, such as has been reported in New Zealand and Australia (1,3), molybdenum *must* be more limiting than lime or other factors which might limit production. Such does not appear to be the case under West Virginia conditions. The addition of lime results in an increase in availability of a number of elements in the soil, including molybdenum. Lime also reduces the solubility, and hence the availability, of elements which may be toxic to plants. The addition of molybdenum, for all practical purposes, does not change the availability of any other element.

Soils that are low in total molybdenum and are fairly productive would be more likely to become deficient in molybdenum than the soils mentioned above. The Pope series, particularly the sandy type, represents a good example of this situation. This series was far below average in total molybdenum content but is generally considered above average in over-all productivity. Since most of the periodic alluvial deposits are eroded from soil already low in molybdenum and consist of coarse material, little molybdenum would be added from this source. Over a period of years the molybdenum supply could become reduced enough to cause a deficiency.

The Berks series provided the only samples containing such high quantities of molybdenum that toxicity to livestock might occur. Instances of molybdenum toxicity have been reported on soils containing considerably less total molybdenum than two of the Berks samples. However, the toxicities occurred on poorly- or imperfectly-drained soils with a neutral to strongly alkaline reaction. Under these conditions a large portion of the total molybdenum would exist in a water-soluble state. It is very doubtful that sufficient molybdenum would become available in the Berks soil to produce toxic forage.

Summary and Conclusions

Eighty soil samples, representing 30 important soil series in West Virginia, were analyzed for total molybdenum.

The average molybdenum content of these soils was 1.76 ppm total molybdenum. The soils derived from limestone or limestone and shale parent material and the finer-textured soils were generally above average in total molybdenum. The coarser-textured soils and those derived from the tilted sandstones, siltstones, and shales of the Ridge and Valley

Province were below average in total molybdenum. The total molybdenum content ranged from .42 ppm in an Ashby channery loam from Mercer County to 11.70 ppm in a Berks shaly silt loam from Berkeley County.

Most of the soils analyzed contained sufficient, but not excessive, total molybdenum. The total molybdenum content was lower than would be desired in the soils of the tilted formations of the eastern half of the State, particularly the Ashby, Litz, Lehew, Ungers, and Calvin series, and in some of the coarser-textured soil types, particularly of the Dekalb, Wellston, and Pope series.

Some samples of the Berks series contained relatively high amounts of molybdenum. However, no production of toxic forage would be expected except under exceptional conditions.

References

1. Anderson, A. J., "Lime and Molybdenum in Clover Development on Acid Soils." *Aust. Jour. Agr. Res.*, 3:95-110 (1952).
2. Dick, A. T., "Molybdenum in Animal Nutrition." *Soil Science*, 81:229-236 (1956).
3. Dunne, T. C., "Regeneration of Subterranean Clover with Molybdenum." *Jour. Agric. W. Australia*, 25:412-418 (1950).
4. Evans, H. J., Purvis, E. R., and Bear, R.E., "Molybdenum Nutrition of Alfalfa." *Plant Physiol.*, 25:555-556 (1951).
5. Prince, A. L., *Chemistry of the Soil*. Edited by F. E. Bear, Reinhold Publishing Company, New York, 1955.
6. Robinson, W. O. and Edgington, G., "Availability of Soil Molybdenum as Shown by the Molybdenum Content of Many Different Plants." *Soil Science*, 77:237-251 (1954).
7. Robinson, W. O., Edgington, G., Arminger, W. H., and Breen, A. V., "Availability of Molybdenum as Influenced By Liming," *Soil Science*, 72:267-274 (1951).
8. Rubins, E. J., "Molybdenum Deficiencies in the United States," *Soil Science*, 81:191-197 (1956).

APPENDIX A

Description and Molybdenum Content of Individual Soil Samples

SOIL TYPE	TOTAL Mo PPM	COUNTY	SITE DESCRIPTION	LOCATION*
5 Ashby channery loam	0.42	Mercer	1 mi. E. of Bluefield
6 Ashby shaly silt loam	1.59	Pocahontas	Woodland
7 Ashby shaly silt loam	1.06	Randolph	Woodland	15-62 SE corner
8 Ashby shaly silt loam	1.00	Berkeley	Old field	90-10
Belmont silt loam	1.17	Tucker	Meadow in rotation	1 mi. NW of Weiss Knob
20 Berkeley clay loam	2.32	Berkeley	Pastured woodland	Conklyn's farm
20A Berkeley clay loam	1.43	Berkeley	40-29
22 Berks shaly silt loam	11.70	Berkeley	Woodland	43-95 3 mi. E. of Bunker Hill
22A Berks shaly silt loam	4.70	Berkeley	Idle field
22A Berks subsoil	3.87	Berkeley	Idle field
23 Berks shaly silt loam	1.67	Berkeley	Woodland	AGS 41-03 S. Central
23A Berks silt loam	2.15	Berkeley	Idle field	1 mi. E. of Inwood
23B Berks shaly silt loam	2.69	Berkeley	Pastured woodland	3 mi. E. of Bunker Hill
30 Brooke silty clay loam	2.15	Ohio	Fence row	5-307 1/8 mi. W. of power line
30A Brooke silty clay loam	2.64	Ohio	Woodland	5-307 NW corner
32 Brooke	2.05
41 Calvin silt loam	0.91	Greenbrier	Woodland	S. of Rt. 60 at Alta
41A Calvin	1.39	Greenbrier	Roadbank
42 Calvin silt loam	0.72	Tucker	Woodland	15-46 Center
55 Clymer silt loam	1.33	Nicholas	Fence row	DDF 36-61
56 Clymer fine sandy loam	0.97	Greenbrier	Woodland	S. of Rt. 60 at Alta
57 Clymer silt loam	1.77	Upshur	Woodland	20-50 S. Central
62 Cookport silt loam	0.87	Raleigh	1 mi. E. of Bluefield
69 Dekalb loam	0.59	Raleigh	2 mi. N. of Beaver
70 Dekalb stony loam	1.00	Greenbrier	Woodland	CUS 4-51
71 Dekalb channery silt loam	2.40	Randolph	Woodland	37-192 S. Central
72 Dekalb stony silt loam	1.56	Preston	Woodland	AMZ 14-71 SW Central
95 Frankstown silt loam	1.52	Greenbrier	Fence row	CMS 8-16
98 Frederick silt loam	1.33	Mercer	3 mi. E. of Bluefield

*Numbers refer to S.C.S. aerial photo numbers

APPENDIX A Continued

SOIL TYPE	TOTAL Mo PPM	COUNTY	SITE DESCRIPTION	LOCATION*
99 Frederick sherty silt loam	2.25	Monroe	Roadbank	CMS 10-24 Sinks Grove
100 Frederick silt loam	1.67	Berkeley	Woodland
101 Frederick stony silt loam	1.98	Berkeley	Unpastured woodland	AGS 41-24 E. Central
102 Gilpin silt loam	1.73	Marshall	Unfertilized pasture	DDF 22-55
103 Gilpin silt loam	1.40	Nicholas	Woodland, oak, and hickory	Piney View
104 Gilpin silt loam	0.95	Raleigh	DDF 14-45
105 Gilpin	2.48	Upshur	Woodland	AMZ 14-49 S. Central
106 Gilpin silt loam	2.14	Monongalia	Woodland	1 mi. E. of Hillsboro
116 Hagerstown silt loam	2.27	Pocahontas	Roadbank	4 mi. S. of Charles Town
117 Hagerstown silt loam	2.30	Jefferson	Fence row	AGS 40-24 SW corner
118 Hagerstown stony silt loam	3.12	Berkeley	Woodland	AGS 40-24 SW corner
118 Hagerstown subsoil	3.97	Berkeley	Woodland	1-47 Center
123 Huntington silt loam	2.56	Marshall	Idle land	AMF 64-38
125 Huntington silt loam	1.76	Mason	Fence row	AGS 32-123
801 Huntington silt loam	3.03	Berkeley	Untreated pasture	Rt. 259 1 mi. S. of Lehigh
143 Lehigh loam	1.68	Hampshire	Fence row	Rt. 50 AGU 73-69
143-A Lehigh channery fine sandy loam	0.53	Hampshire	Woodland	AGU 93-58 N. Central
143-B Lehigh loam	0.69	Hampshire	Fence row	Camp Creek
148 Litz shaly silt loam	0.92	Mercer	CMS 11-3 2 mi. W. of Union
149 Litz shaly silt loam	0.84	Monroe	Bluegrass yard	221
169 Moshannon silt loam	1.60	Wirt	Bluegrass, ironweed	AMC 60-74
170 Moshannon silt loam	1.25	Jackson	Creekbank	DDF 35-44
174 Muskingum silt loam	1.39	Kanawha	Woodland	14-66
175 Muskingum silt loam	1.08	Boone	Woodland-good condition	3 mi. S. of Arnett
176 Muskingum silt loam	1.36	Raleigh	68-63 Center
186 Pope sandy loam	0.85	Lincoln	Idle cropland	20-43 Center
187 Pope sandy loam	0.99	Upshur	Untreated meadow	AMZ 14-169 E. Central
188 Pope gravelly silt loam	1.50	Preston	Woodland	3 mi. W. of Hinton on Rt. 3
208 Teas silt loam	1.29	Summers	18-100 SE corner
210 Teas silt loam	0.93	Randolph	Woodland	18-100 SE corner
210 Teas subsoil	1.15	Randolph	Woodland	

*Numbers refer to S.C.S. aerial photo numbers

APPENDIX A Continued

Soil Type	Total Mo ppm	County	Site Description	Location*
211 Teas silt loam	1.52	Preston	Untreated pasture	AMZ 12-161 SE Central
212 Tilsit silt loam	1.55	Mason	Fence row	AMF 84-15
213 Tilsit silt loam	1.40	Wayne	Old Field	85-22
214 Tilsit silt loam	1.24	Mercer	2 mi. E. of Speedway
Ungers loam	0.98	Tucker	Untreated pasture
222 Upshur clay loam	1.93	Jackson	Abandoned Field	AMC 62-42
154 Upshur-Gilpin silty clay	1.76	Wirt	Untreated pasture	245
155 Upshur-Muskingum silty clay	1.40	Jackson	Woodland	AMC 62-43 100' S. of Rt. 33
156 Upshur-Muskingum clay	2.30	Wayne	Worn out cropland	47-14 W. Central
233 Wellston fine sandy loam	0.74	Wayne	Honeysuckle patch	47-14 E. Central
Wellston subsoil	1.07	Wayne	Honeysuckle patch	6-486 NW Corner
235 Westmoreland silt loam	3.14	Ohio	Abandoned orchard	1 mi. SW of Kilarn
237 Westmoreland silt loam	2.78	Marion	Idle land	1-49 near center
239 Wharton silt loam	2.44	Marshall	Brushy pasture field	DDF 22-53
240 Wharton silt loam	1.30	Nicholas	Old fence row	AMZ 14-32 Center
241 Wharton silt loam	2.70	Preston	Fence row	29-77 SW.
243 Wharton silt loam	1.96	Wetzel	Cemetery	AMF 83-81 100' E. of Rt. 62
245 Wheeling silt loam	1.30	Mason	Fence row	205
251 Zoar	1.30	Wirt	
253 Zoar silt loam	2.91	Nicholas	Fence row	1/2 mi. S. of Muddely

*Numbers refer to S.C.S. aerial photo numbers

